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#### Abstract

In such models the emphasis was on estimating and/or predicting the average value of Y conditional upon the fixed values of the $X$ variables. But in many situations, such a one-way or unidirectional cause-and-effect relationship is not meaningful. This occurs if Y is determined by the X 's, and some of the X 's are, in turn, determined by Y. From that caused will be occurs problem in the estimation by use the OLS and the result not good to present the relationships between them, and we can't depend upon it and we are estimation the model by use another method, will in short we are use the simultaneous equations which makes the distinction between dependent and explanatory variables of dubious value. It is better to lump together a set of variables that can be determined simultaneously by the remaining set of variables-precisely what is done in simultaneous-equation models. In such models there is more than one equation-one for each of the mutually, or jointly, dependent or endogenous variables And unlike the single-equation models, in the simultaneous-equation models one may not estimate the parameters of a single equation without taking into account information provided by other equations in the system.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Every time the prices effected on many commodities in any market especially free market and it controlled of the supply and demand in it. We were concerned exclusively with single equation models, i.e., models in which there was a single dependent variable $Y$ and one or more explanatory variables, the X 's. In such models the emphasis was on estimating and/or predicting the average value of Y conditional upon the fixed values of the X variables. But in many situations, such a one-way or unidirectional cause-and-effect relationship is not meaningful. This occurs if Y is determined by the X's, and some of the X's are, in turn, determined by Y. From that caused will be occurs problem in the estimation by use the OLS and the result not good to present the relationships between them, and we cannot depend upon it and we are estimation the model by use another method, will in short we are use the simultaneous equations which makes the distinction between dependent and explanatory variables of dubious value. It is better to lump together a set of variables that can be determined simultaneously by the remaining set of variables-precisely what is done in simultaneous-equation models. In such models there is more than one equation-one for each of the mutually, or jointly, dependent or endogenous variables And unlike the single-equation models, in the simultaneous-equation models one may not estimate the parameters of a single equation without taking into account information provided by other equations in the system.

What happened in estimation the OLS to this system?, we can describe as following:

- The result from estimation for parameters not good.
- Fielded all statistical tests like t and F for it.
- Big value for parameters variance.
- Reject one from assumptions of random variable $\left(\operatorname{COV}\left(X_{i} U_{j}\right) \neq 0\right)$
- Finally field the OLS to estimation this system.

In the field of published simultaneous-equation models and Reduce form many researchers published many papers, (Guido W. Imbens, Whitney K. Newey, 2002)[1] publish paper entitled "Identification and Estimation of Triangular Simultaneous Equations Models Without Additivity" This paper investigates identification and inference in a nonparametric structural model with instrumental variables and non-additive errors The first step consists of estimating the conditional distribution of the endogenous repressors given the instrument. (Ehizuelen Michael MO, 2016)[2] publish paper entitled" The Dynamics of Infrastructure and Economic Growth in Nigeria" He donate to use the Reduce form equations to estimate the dynamics of infrastructure.

## 2. THETHEORY

The market model can't solve by use the ordinary least squares method because the estimation have many econometrics problem like Mulitycolleniearty, Heteroscedasticity and Autocorrelation these problems result from reject the one of characters of that method is based character, this character is very important for the best fit of model and we are dependent of the result from it, if available in that estimation and we can prof that as following:

From the market model following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{d}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} P_{x}+\alpha_{2} Y+u_{1} \\
& Q_{s}=b_{0}+b_{1} P_{x}+b_{2} W+u_{2} \\
& Q_{d}=Q_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where:
$\boldsymbol{Q}_{\boldsymbol{d}}$ : Demand Quantity.
$\boldsymbol{Q}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$ : Supply Quantity.
$\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{x}}:$ X price(X: commodity).
$\boldsymbol{Y}$ : Individual income.
$\boldsymbol{W}$ : The water.
$\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}$ : Parameters.
$u_{1}, u_{2}$ : Random variables.
$\square Q_{d}=Q_{s}$
$\therefore \alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} P_{x}+\alpha_{2} Y+u_{1}=b_{0}+b_{1} P_{x}+b_{2} W+u_{2}$
$\alpha_{1} P_{x}=b_{0}+b_{1} P_{x}+b_{2} W+u_{1}-\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{2} Y+u_{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1} P_{x}=b_{0}+b_{1} P_{x}+b_{2} W+u_{1}-\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{2} Y-u_{2} \\
& \alpha_{1} P_{x}-b_{1} P_{x}=b_{0}+b_{2} W+u_{1}-\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{2} Y-u_{2} \\
& P_{x}\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)=b_{0}-\alpha_{0}+b_{2} W-\alpha_{2} Y+u_{1}-u_{2} \\
& \therefore P_{x}=\frac{b_{0}-\alpha_{0}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)}+\frac{b_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} W+\frac{-\alpha_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} Y+\frac{u_{1}-u_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\square \operatorname{var}-\operatorname{cov} \text { of }(b s)=\left[P_{x}-E\left(P_{x}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\square \operatorname{var}-\operatorname{cov} \text { of }(b s)=\left[\frac{b_{0}-\alpha_{0}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)}+\frac{b_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} W+\frac{-\alpha_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} Y+\frac{u_{1}-u_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)}\right]-E\left[\frac{b_{0}-\alpha_{0}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)}+\frac{b_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} W+\frac{-\alpha_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} Y+\right.
$$

$$
\left.\frac{u_{1}-u_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)}\right]
$$

$=\left[\frac{b_{0}-\alpha_{0}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)}+\frac{b_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} W+\frac{-\alpha_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} Y+\frac{u_{1}-u_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)}\right]-\left[\left[\frac{b_{0}-\alpha_{0}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)}+\frac{b_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} W+\frac{-\alpha_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} Y+E\left[\frac{u_{1}-u_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)}\right]\right]\right]$
$\square E\left(u_{i}\right)=0$
$\therefore$ var $-\operatorname{cov}$ of $(b s)=\left[P_{x}-E\left(P_{x}\right)\right] Z=\left[\frac{b_{0}-\alpha_{0}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)}+\frac{b_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} W+\frac{-\alpha_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} Y+\frac{u_{1}-u_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)}\right]-\left[\left[\frac{b_{0}-\alpha_{0}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)}+\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\frac{b_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} W+\frac{-\alpha_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} Y\right]\right]=\left[\frac{b_{0}-\alpha_{0}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)}+\frac{b_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} W+\frac{-\alpha_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} Y+\frac{u_{1}-u_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)}\right]-\frac{b_{0}-\alpha_{0}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)}-\frac{b_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} W-\frac{-\alpha_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)} Y=\left[\frac{u_{1}-u_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}-b_{1}\right)}\right]<$ or $>0$

That's mean reject the one from the Random variable assumptions as following:

$$
\operatorname{COV}\left(P_{x} U_{i}\right) \neq 0
$$

$\therefore$ That's mean the model have problem (Heteroscedasticity problem) and result from estimation it's not good and we are don't dependent that result,We are solve and estimate that's model by use another method, and the best methods we can use simultaneous model and the best from them Reduce Form model to get the best fit from estimation.

## 3. THEMODEL

To get the Reduce form model we are get the structural equations from market model and solve it by use the regression model to get the estimation of parameters $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}$ to the demand and supply equations and we are use the Reduce form to get the new parameters estimate and we are dependent of it to explain the relationships between them.

## - Structural Equations

The Price and quantity equilibrium

- The equilibrium of quantity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{d}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} P_{x}+\alpha_{2} Y+u_{1} \\
& Q_{s}=b_{0}+b_{1} P_{x}+b_{2} W+u_{2} \\
& Q_{s}=Q_{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

From supply equation:
$Q_{s}=b_{0}+b_{1} P_{x}+b_{2} W+u_{2}$
$b_{1} P_{x}=Q_{s}-b_{0}-b_{2} W-u_{2}$
$\therefore P_{x}=\frac{Q_{s}-b_{0}-b_{2} W-u_{2}}{b_{1}}$
We are change the $P_{x}$ from supply equation in demand equation as following:
$Q_{d}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \frac{Q_{S}-b_{0}-b_{2} W-u_{2}}{b_{1}}+\alpha_{2} Y+u_{1}$
$Q_{d}=\frac{\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}\left(Q_{s}-b_{0}-b_{2} W-u_{2}\right)+\alpha_{2} Y+u_{1}}{b_{1}}$
$Q_{d} b_{1}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}\left(Q_{s}-b_{0}-b_{2} W-u_{2}\right)+\alpha_{2} Y+u_{1}$
$Q_{d} b_{1}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} Q_{s}-\alpha_{1} b_{0}-\alpha_{1} b_{2} W-\alpha_{1} u_{2}+\alpha_{2} Y+u_{1}$
$Q_{d} b_{1}-\alpha_{1} Q_{s}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} Q_{s}-\alpha_{1} b_{0}-\alpha_{1} b_{2} W-\alpha_{1} u_{2}+\alpha_{2} Y+u_{1}$
$\square Q_{s}=Q_{d}$
And rearranged above equation as following:
$Q\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)=\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1} b_{0}+\alpha_{2} Y-\alpha_{1} b_{2} W+u_{1}-\alpha_{1} u_{2}$
The equilibrium of quantity equation:
$\therefore \bar{Q}=\frac{\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1} b_{0}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)} Y+\frac{-\alpha_{1} b_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)} W+\frac{u_{1}-\alpha_{1} u_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)}$

- The equilibrium of price

$$
Q_{s}=Q_{d}
$$

We are replacing the equivalent in the equations of supply and demand as following:
$\therefore b_{0}+b_{1} P_{x}+b_{2} W+u_{2}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} P_{x}+\alpha_{2} Y+u_{1}$
$b_{1} P_{x}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} P_{x}+\alpha_{2} Y+u_{1}-\left(b_{0}+b_{2} W+u_{2}\right)$
$b_{1} P_{x}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} P_{x}+\alpha_{2} Y+u_{1}-b_{0}-b_{2} W-u_{2}$
$b_{1} P_{x}-\alpha_{1} P_{x}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{2} Y+u_{1}-b_{0}-b_{2} W-u_{2}$
And rearranged above equation as following:
$P_{x}\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)=\alpha_{0}-b_{0}+\alpha_{2} Y-b_{2} W+u_{1}-u_{2}$
The equilibrium of price:
$\therefore \overline{P_{x}}=\frac{\alpha_{0}-b_{0}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)} Y+\frac{-b_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)} W+\frac{u_{1}-u_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)}$
B. the Reduce form:

From the equilibrium of price and quantity equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{P}_{x}=\frac{\alpha_{0}-b_{0}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)} Y+\frac{-b_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)} W+\frac{u_{1}-u_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)} \\
& \bar{Q}=\frac{\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1} b_{0}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)} Y+\frac{-\alpha_{1} b_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)} W+\frac{u_{1}-\alpha_{1} u_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We are derivative the Reduce form as following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{0}=\frac{\alpha_{0}-b_{0}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)}, \pi_{1}=\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)}, \pi_{2}=\frac{-b_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)}, V_{1}=\frac{u_{1}-u_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)} \\
& \pi_{3}=\frac{\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1} b_{0}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)}, \pi_{4}=\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)}, \pi_{5}=\frac{-\alpha_{1} b_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)}, V_{2}=\frac{u_{1}-\alpha_{1} u_{2}}{\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)} \\
& \bar{P}_{x}=\pi_{0}+\pi_{1} Y+\pi_{2} W+V_{1} \\
& \bar{Q}=\pi_{3}+\pi_{4} Y+\pi_{5} W+V_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We estimate The Reduce form parameters by use the multiple Regression models for supply and demand equations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{0}^{*}=\left[\pi_{0} *\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)\right]+b_{0} \\
& \alpha_{1}^{*}=\left[\pi_{5} *\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)\right]-b_{2} \\
& \alpha_{2}^{*}=\pi_{1} *\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right) \\
& b_{0}^{*}=\left[\pi_{0} *\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)\right]-\alpha_{0} \\
& b_{1}^{*}=\left[\pi_{1} * \frac{1}{\alpha_{2}}\right]+\alpha_{1} \\
& b_{2}^{*}=\left[\pi_{2} *\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)\right] *-1 \\
& U_{1}^{*}=\left[V_{1} *\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)\right]+u_{2} \\
& U_{2}^{*}=\left[V_{2} *\left(b_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)\right]-u_{1 *}\left[\frac{1}{-\alpha_{1}}\right] \\
& \therefore \overline{P_{x}}=\alpha_{0}^{*}+\alpha_{1}^{*} Y+\alpha_{2}^{*} W+U_{1}^{*} \\
& \bar{Q}=b_{0}^{*}+b_{1}^{*} Y+b_{2}^{*} W+U_{2}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. THE DATA

The researchers collect data from many resources such as, world bank, Fao and Indian agricultural ministry for Rice crop such as, Production(supply) consumption (Demand) and the Income per capita and the prices of Rice, and we are recognize the data as the following tables :

Table 1: The Production, Consumption, Prices, Rice Arable Land and Income Per Capita for Rice Crop in India Country for Period 1990-2015(Q: 1000Mt, Area: 1000Ha, Icome: Us\$)

| Years | Total Supply 1000Mt | G.R for Total Supply* | Total Demand 1000Mt | G.R for <br> Total <br> Demand* | Prices-US <br> \$ for Ton | G.R for Prices* | Rice Arable <br> Land (000H) | G.R for Rice Arable Land* | Income Per Capita | G.R for Income Per Capita* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 88291 | * | 73091 | * | 159.32 | * | 163459 | * | 473.18 | * |
| 1991 | 89195 | 1.03 | 74595 | 2.06 | 160.41 | 0.68 | 163459 | 0 | 466.37 | -1.44 |
| 1992 | 86923 | -2.55 | 75273 | 0.91 | 152.87 | -4.7 | 163182 | -0.17 | 485.23 | 4.44 |
| 1993 | 91300 | 5.06 | 76050 | 1.03 | 141.38 | -7.52 | 162706 | -0.29 | 505.22 | 4.12 |


| 1994 | 96310 | 5.49 | 77660 | 2.12 | 140.56 | -0.58 | 162586 | -0.07 | 536.73 | 6.24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 | 91480 | -5.02 | 76280 | -1.78 | 150.8 | 7.29 | 162525 | -0.04 | 559.88 | 4.31 |
| 1996 | 93230 | 1.91 | 81630 | 7.01 | 154.49 | 2.45 | 161911 | -0.38 | 587.01 | 4.85 |
| 1997 | 92052 | -1.26 | 77552 | -5 | 119.41 | -22.71 | 161025 | -0.55 | 611.14 | 4.11 |
| 1998 | 96584 | 4.92 | 81234 | 4.75 | 119.73 | 0.27 | 161601 | 0.36 | 646.22 | 5.74 |
| 1999 | 101766 | 5.37 | 82650 | 1.74 | 144.56 | 20.74 | 161409 | -0.12 | 684.41 | 5.88 |
| 2000 | 102696 | 0.91 | 75960 | -8.094 | 132.62 | -8.26 | 160975 | -0.27 | 687.48 | 0.45 |
| 2001 | 118391 | 15.28 | 87611 | 15.34 | 124.55 | -6.09 | 160930 | -0.03 | 706.37 | 2.75 |
| 2002 | 96300 | -18.66 | 79860 | -8.85 | 124.12 | -0.35 | 160325 | -0.38 | 713.41 | 1 |
| 2003 | 99530 | 3.35 | 85630 | 7.23 | 134.21 | 8.13 | 160432 | 0.07 | 762.91 | 6.94 |
| 2004 | 93930 | -5.63 | 80861 | -5.57 | 207.7 | 54.76 | 159799 | -0.4 | 803.42 | 5.37 |
| 2005 | 100296 | 6.78 | 85088 | 5.23 | 203.69 | -1.93 | 159681 | -0.07 | 863.86 | 7.47 |
| 2006 | 103870 | 3.56 | 86700 | 1.9 | 259.01 | 27.12 | 159444 | -0.15 | 923.45 | 6.9 |
| 5007 | 108120 | 4.09 | 90466 | 4.34 | 345.4 | 33.35 | 158662 | -0.49 | 988.21 | 7.02 |
| 2008 | 112180 | 3.76 | 91090 | 0.69 | 385.71 | 11.67 | 158022 | -0.4 | 1000.37 | 1.23 |
| 2009 | 108090 | -3.65 | 85508 | -6.13 | 289 | -25.07 | 157995 | 0.44 | 1098.41 | 9.8 |
| 2010 | 116480 | 7.76 | 90206 | 5.49 | 268 | -7.27 | 157924 | 0 | 1176.61 | 7.12 |
| 2011 | 128810 | 10.59 | 93334 | 3.467 | 409 | 52.61 | 157009 | -0.17 | 1246.81 | 5.97 |
| 2012 | 130340 | 1.19 | 94031 | 0.75 | 391 | -4.4 | 156979 | -0.29 | 1294.45 | 3.82 |
| 2013 | 132086 | 1.34 | 98710 | 4.98 | 402 | 2.81 | 156546 | -0.07 | 1352.05 | 4.45 |
| 2014 | 128237 | -2.91 | 98233 | -0.48 | 377 | -6.22 | 157000 | -0.04 | 1430.46 | 5.8 |
| 2015 | 122066 | -4.81 | 94266 | -4.04 | 337 | -10.61 | 157657 | -0.38 | 1450.51 | 1.4 |

Source: World Rice Statistics

## http://ricestat.irri.org:8080/wrs

## http://www.worldbank.org.databank /data/

## http://irri.org

- We are calculated the growth Rate value According to the following equation : [( $\left.\left.\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}-1\right) * 100\right], t=y e a r$

We are note that's table 1 the supply and demand and all variable changed all time for the period and we can understand very clearly by present in following figure for the growth rate only because give us good concepts for it $: \backslash$


Source: From the date in table 1 and use the Minitab, 16 programs.
Figure 1: The Growth Rate Curves for Production, Consumption, Prices, Rice Arable Land and Income Per Capita for Rice Crop in India Country for Period 1990-2015

From the above figure 1 we are note the impact prices on both supply and demand values.


Source: From the date in table 1 and use the Minitab, 16 program.
Figure 2: The Growth Rates for All Variables in Both Supply and Demand Equation
We are note the prices growth rate curve its fluctuating the values for the period 1990-2015 affecting the supply and demand quantities, while the other variables are fluctuating very few except the supply curve its response to the prices mor than the demand curve and we are drawing a curve price in single figure as following :


Source: From the date in table 1 and use the Minitab, 16 Program
Figure 3: The Price Growth Rate Curve

## 5. THE ANALYSIS

Now we are estimation the regression models to supply and Demand equations by use the Minitab programming. 16 and recognize the estimation in the following table:

Table 2: The Supply and Demand Estimation by Use the OLS

| The Equations <br> Variables and tests | Demand Equation | Supply Equation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant t | $\begin{gathered} 65447 \\ (40.69)^{1 \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 831010 \\ (4.06)^{1 \%} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Price } \\ & \mathrm{t} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.04 \\ (0.70)^{25 \%} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.80 \\ (1.02)^{15 \%} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Income } \\ & \mathrm{t} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.180 \\ (5.28)^{1 \%} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Rice arable t |  | $\begin{gathered} -4.572 \\ (-3.67)^{1 \%} \end{gathered}$ |
| $R^{2}$ | 88\% | 80\% |
| $\bar{R}^{2}$ | 87\% | 78\% |
| r | 94\% | 89\% |
| $F_{(3,26)}$ | $(83.86)^{1 \%}$ | $(45.34)^{1 \%}$ |
| D.W | $(2.57853)^{1 \%}$ in | $(1.24176)^{1 \%}$ in |

Source: From the date in table 1 and use the Minitab, 16 programs.
Table 3: The Statistical and Econometrics Test

| $t_{0.01}$ | 2.508 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $t_{0.05}$ | 1.717 |
| $t_{0.10}$ | 1.321 |
| $t_{0.15}$ | 1.022 |
| $t_{0.25}$ | 0.685 |
| $F(4,26)_{0.01}$ | 4.31 |
| $D . W_{0.01}$ | $\mathrm{dl}=0.928 \mathrm{du}=1.411$ |

Source: Damodar N. Gujarati-Basic Econometrics- fourth edition-The McGraw-Hill Companies, 2004pp:972-973

We are recognizing the equations as structural equations and we get the equilibrium of quantity and prices from it as following:

Total Demand $1000 \mathrm{Mt}=65447+$ 8.0 Prices-US $\$$ for ton +20.2 Income per capita
Total supply $1000 \mathrm{Mt}=831010+26.8$ Prices-US $\$$ for to

- 4.57 Rice arable land ( 000 H )
- The Equilibrium of Quantity

$$
\text { 26.8 Prices =Total supply }-831010+4.57 \text { Rice arable }
$$

$\therefore$ Prices $=\frac{\text { Total supply }-831010+4.57 \text { Rice arable }}{26.8}$
Total Demand $=65447+8.0 \frac{\text { Total supply }-831010+4.57 \text { Rice arable }}{26.8}+20.2$ Income
Total Demand $=\frac{65447+8.0 \text { Total supply }-8.0(831010)+8.0(4.57) \text { Rice arable }+20.2 \text { Income }}{26.8}$

$$
26.8 Q d=65447+8.0 Q s-8.0(831010)+8.0(4.57) R a+20.2 I n
$$

$26.8 Q d-8.0 Q s=65447-8.0(831010)+8.0(4.57) R a+20.2 I n$

- $Q(26.8-8.0)=65447-8.0(831010)+8.0(4.57) R a+20.2 I n$
$\therefore \bar{Q}=\frac{65447-8.0(831010)}{(26.8-8.0)}+\frac{8.0(4.57)}{(26.8-8.0)} R a+\frac{20.2}{(26.8-8.0)} I n+V_{1}$
Above equation is the equilibrium of quantity.
- The Equilibrium of PRICES
$Q s=Q d$
$\therefore 831010+26.8 \operatorname{Pr}-4.57 \mathrm{Ra}=65447+8.0 \operatorname{Pr}+20.2 \mathrm{In}$
$26.8 \operatorname{Pr}=65447+8.0 \operatorname{Pr}+20.2 \mathrm{In}-(831010-4.57 \mathrm{Ra})$
$26.8 \operatorname{Pr}=65447+8.0 \operatorname{Pr}+20.2 \mathrm{In}-831010+4.57 \mathrm{Ra}$
$\therefore 26.8 \mathrm{Pr}-8.0 \mathrm{Pr}=65447-831010+20.2 \mathrm{In}+4.57 \mathrm{Ra}$
$\therefore \operatorname{Pr}(26.8-8.0)=65447-831010+20.2 \mathrm{In}+4.57 \mathrm{Ra}$
$\therefore \overline{\operatorname{Pr}}=\frac{65447-831010}{(26.8-8.0)}+\frac{4.57}{(26.8-8.0)} \mathrm{Ra}+\frac{20.2}{(26.8-8.0)} \operatorname{In}+\mathrm{V}_{2}$
Above equation is the equilibrium of Prices.
Now we are estimating the Reduce Form for the structural equations as following:
The Prices
$\widehat{\pi}_{0}=\frac{65447-831010}{(26.8-8.0)}, \widehat{\pi}_{1}=\frac{4.57}{(26.8-8.0)}, \widehat{\pi}_{2}=\frac{20.2}{(26.8-8.0)}$
$\therefore \overline{\operatorname{Pr}}=\widehat{\pi}_{0}+\widehat{\pi}_{1} \mathrm{Ra}+\widehat{\pi}_{2} \operatorname{In}$
$\therefore \widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{r}}=-40721.44+0.243 \mathrm{Ra}+1.08 \mathrm{In}$


## - The Quantity

$\hat{\pi}_{3}=\frac{65447-8.0(831010)}{(26.8-8.0)}, \hat{\pi}_{4}=\frac{8.0(4.57)}{(26.8-8.0)}, \hat{\pi}_{5}=\frac{20.2}{(26.8-8.0)}$
$\therefore \bar{Q}=\hat{\pi}_{3}+\hat{\pi}_{4} R a+\hat{\pi}_{5} I n$
$\therefore \bar{Q}=-350140.06+1.95 R a+1.08 \mathrm{In}$
The Estimation of the Reduce Form equation as following:
$\hat{P}_{r}=-40721.44+0.243 R a+1.08$ In
$\bar{Q}=-350140.06+1.95 R a+1.08$ In
Will we are determined the new estimate parameters as following:
$\tilde{\alpha}_{0}=-40721.44, \overparen{\omega}_{1}=0.243, \overparen{\omega}_{2}=1.08$

$$
\overparen{b}_{0}=-350140.06, \overparen{b}_{1}=1.95, \overparen{b}_{2}=1.08
$$

## 6. THE CONCLUSIONS

From the results we are get the new parameters for Market system after cleaning the model from the two problems like Identification and bise and these parameters are different then the first result to supply and demand equations it was have big value for the parameters and this technique improve the estimation because the OLS don't give us the good fit for the market system.
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